

## DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 437 674

CS 510 198

AUTHOR Schnell, Jim  
TITLE Using C-Span To Study Ross Perot's Expression of Sensitivity and Insensitivity with Diversity Issues during the 1992 Presidential Campaign.  
PUB DATE 1999-00-00  
NOTE 8p.  
PUB TYPE Reports - Evaluative (142)  
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.  
DESCRIPTORS Content Analysis; \*Cultural Awareness; Cultural Pluralism; Higher Education; Mass Media; Media Research; \*Political Candidates; Presidential Campaigns (United States); \*Press Opinion; \*Racial Attitudes; \*Research Utilization  
IDENTIFIERS \*C SPAN; \*Perot (Ross); Technology Utilization

## ABSTRACT

C-SPAN videotapes provide an innovative database that promises to be a significant benefit to researchers of public figures and issues by allowing researchers to analyze public figures in their own words, without journalistic interpretation. Such analysis was applied to Ross Perot's 1992 campaign in addressing his perceived insensitivity to diversity. Perot's perceived insensitive comments do not substantiate an intolerant attitude, and several additional citations from the C-SPAN tapes confirm Perot's sensitivity. (Contains a list of the 14 C-SPAN tapes reviewed.) (EF)

USING C-SPAN TO STUDY ROSS PEROT'S EXPRESSION OF  
SENSITIVITY AND INSENSITIVITY WITH DIVERSITY  
ISSUES DURING THE 1992 PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN

Jim Schnell, Ph.D.  
Professor  
Dept. of Communication Studies  
Ohio Dominican College  
Columbus, Ohio 43219

schnellj@odc.edu

614-251-4581

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION  
CENTER (ERIC)

- ☒ This document has been reproduced as  
received from the person or organization  
originating it.
- ☐ Minor changes have been made to  
improve reproduction quality.

- Points of view or opinions stated in this  
document do not necessarily represent  
official OERI position or policy.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND  
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS  
BEEN GRANTED BY

J. Schnell

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

USING C-SPAN TO STUDY ROSS PEROT'S EXPRESSION OF  
SENSITIVITY AND INSENSITIVITY WITH DIVERSITY  
ISSUES DURING THE 1992 PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN

Communication scholars have acknowledged the use of C-SPAN as a teaching tool in resources such as C-SPAN in the Communication Classroom: Theory and Application (Muir, 1992). This article describes how C-SPAN can be used for research purposes, specifically as a data base. C-SPAN research potential is exemplified through description of a study conducted to analyze the rhetoric of Ross Perot.

The primary topic of this inquiry focuses on Perot's expression of sensitivity and insensitivity with diversity issues during the 1992 presidential campaign. Perot was frequently accused of being insensitive toward diversity issues during the campaign. I analyzed the content and context of his speeches to see if there is evidence to support this claim made by Perot critics.

C-SPAN tapes analyzed in this project were obtained from the Public Affairs Video Archives (located at Purdue University). The Archives provided me with an index listing all of Perot's presentations delivered during the presidential campaign period (January-November, 1992) that were covered by C-SPAN. A total of 55 presentations are available on videotape. Fourteen of these videotapes, that are representative of Perot's presentations (in content, context, & format), were selected for analysis.

The following is a list of the selected videotapes. Videotape title, date, format, and length are listed for each

presentation.

1. Life and Career of Ross Perot (3/18/92)  
American Profile Interview (1:03)
2. Perot Candidacy: American Newspaper Publishers Association  
(5/5/92) Speech (:34)
3. University of Oklahoma Commencement Address (5/9/92)  
Speech (:21)
4. Perot Campaign Speech: NAACP Annual Convention (7/11/92)  
Speech (:35)
5. Perot Withdrawal (7/16/92)  
News Conference (:20)
6. Perot Campaign Commercial (10/6/92)  
Broadcast (:29)
7. Presidential Candidates Debate (10/11/92)  
Debate (1:36)
8. Presidential Candidates Debate (10/15/92)  
Debate (1:32)
9. Perot Campaign Commercial (10/15/92)  
Broadcast (:30)
10. Presidential Candidates Debate (10/19/92)  
Debate (1:38)
11. Perot Campaign Commercial (10/20/92)  
Speech (:31)
12. Perot Campaign Commercial (10/26/92)  
Broadcast (:31)
13. Perot Campaign Commercial (10/28/92)  
Political Event (:28)
14. Perot Campaign Commercial (10/30/92)  
Broadcast (:29)

There is no widely accepted paradigm for analyzing a speaker's sensitivity with diversity issues so this inquiry poses unique challenges. What connotates sensitivity? What connotates insensitivity? Use of C-SPAN tapes to study the aforementioned subject is particularly relevant in that the

C-SPAN index helps define the sample to be studied and the tapes provide literal verbal meanings, indirect nonverbal meanings, and context for speeches. Transcripts provide literal statements but the tapes frame the literal statements. Perot was criticized after his speech to the NAACP convention, according to the Public Affairs Video Archives index abstract, for his "apparent paternalistic nature" toward minorities. Analysis of this type of criticism rests on what is said and equally on how it is said.

The hypothesis for this study is that Perot's presentations contain statements conveying insensitivity with diversity issues. The formulation of this hypothesis is based primarily on newspaper reports of Perot's aforementioned June 11, 1992 speech to the NAACP convention. The Washington Post reported "Perot drew a cool reception from the group when he referred to blacks as 'you people' and 'your people'". (Washington Post, July 12, 1992). The Chicago Tribune referred to Perot's use of "you people" and "your people" as "a gaffe in his speech that offended some in the audience as racially insensitive" (Chicago Tribune, July 12, 1992). This speech is significant in that the next Perot tape listed in the Public Affairs Video Archives index features Perot's withdrawal from the presidential campaign.

Data relevant to the research question of this inquiry, regarding Perot's sensitivity/insensitivity toward minority issues, was studied by viewing all the aforementioned

videotapes. This data includes ten hours and 37 minutes of videotape. The data clearly disproves the hypothesis of this study (that Perot's presentations would contain statements conveying insensitivity with diversity issues). Perot's statements consistently convey sensitivity with diversity issues.

The following is a collection of representative statements made by Perot (regarding diversity in America). All of these statements convey sensitivity with diversity. The dates listed are referenced in the previous listing of Perot presentations analyzed in this study.

"Our diversity is our strength, we've turned it into a weakness. . . . If you hate people I don't want your vote" (10/11/92). "If you don't mind living in a society where one out of eight women are raped I don't want your vote" (5/5/92). "We're not gonna turn the clock back. Segregating would hurt the economy" (3/18/92). "Our country will not be great until we are all united and equal. . . . I cannot be free until we are all free" (7/11/92).

The only speech containing comments perceived offensive is the 7/11/92 speech to the NAACP convention. In this speech Perot's referral to the black audience as "you people" and "your people" was perceived by some to be racially insensitive. However, the NAACP is a self defined organization (comprised of African-Americans). To refer to such a group as a distinctive entity, which the organization does with it's name and objective, does not substantiate

Perot is insensitive to diversity issues. The aforementioned Perot quotes clearly establish his sensitivity with diversity.

Study of Perot is relevant in this context. He came from relative obscurity in the spring, 1992 and within seven months received 19% of the popular vote in the presidential campaign. He may be a powerful force in the 1996 campaign. At the 1992 "Off the (Video) Record" research conference, sponsored by the Public Affairs Video Archives at Purdue University, Perot was mentioned more than Bush or Clinton as a relevant topic for analysis in that his candidacy was so unique and without explanation.

Perot's candidacy sparked considerable debate. Scholars will no doubt be defining Perot's future in reference to his past. Findings from this type of study help set the agenda for analysis of Perot.

The research format used in this study can easily be applied with other speakers and with a variety of research questions. C-SPAN tapes allow researchers to analyze public figures in their own words, without journalistic interpretation. Public presentations can be studied in their entirety (rather than selected excerpts). Thus, C-SPAN videotapes provide an innovative data base that promises to be a significant benefit to researchers of public figures and issues.

### References

Chicago Tribune. July 12, 1992, p. 6.

Muir, Jannette K. C-SPAN in the Communication Classroom:  
Theory and Application. Annandale, Virginia: Speech  
Communication Association, 1992.

Washington Post. July 12, 1992, p. 1 & A-10.





**U.S. Department of Education**  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)  
National Library of Education (NLE)  
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



# REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

CS 510 198

## I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: Using C-Span to Study Ross Perot's Expression of Sensitivity and Insensitivity with Diversity Issues During the 1992 Presidential Campaign.

Author(s): James A. SCHNELL

Corporate Source:

Publication Date:

September, 1999

## II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

\_\_\_\_\_

Sample

\_\_\_\_\_

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

Level 1



Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

\_\_\_\_\_

Sample

\_\_\_\_\_

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2A

Level 2A



Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

\_\_\_\_\_

Sample

\_\_\_\_\_

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2B

Level 2B



Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.

If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign  
here, →  
please

Signature: <u>James A. Schnell</u>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <u>James A. Schnell / Professor</u>	
Organization/Address: <u>Ohio Dominican College</u> <u>Columbus, Ohio 43219</u>	Telephone: <u>614 251-4581</u>	FAX: _____
	E-Mail Address: <u>Schnellj@odc.edu</u>	Date: <u>2/18/2000</u>

### III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:

Address:

Price:

### IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:

Address:

### V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

ERIC/REC  
2805 E. Tenth Street  
Smith Research Center, 150  
Indiana University  
Bloomington, IN 47408

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

**ERIC Processing and Reference Facility**  
1100 West Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080

Toll Free: 800-799-3742

FAX: 301-953-0263

e-mail: [ericfac@inet.ed.gov](mailto:ericfac@inet.ed.gov)

WWW: <http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com>